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This study explores the use of human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) for assessing nanotoxicology, specifically, the effect of gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) of different core sizes (1.5, 4, and 14 nm) on the viability, pluripotency, neuronal differentiation, and DNA methylation of hESCs. The hESCs exposed to 1.5 nm thiolate-capped AuNPs exhibit loss of cohesiveness and detachment suggesting ongoing cell death at concentrations as low as 0.1 µg mL\(^{-1}\). The cells exposed to 1.5 nm AuNPs at this concentration do not form embryoid bodies but rather disintegrate into single cells within 48 h. Cell death caused by 1.5 nm AuNPs also occur in hESC-derived neural progenitor cells. None of the other nanoparticles exhibit toxic effects on the hESCs at concentrations as high as 10 µg mL\(^{-1}\) during a 19 d neural differentiation period. Thiolate-capped 4 nm AuNPs at 10 µg mL\(^{-1}\) cause a dramatic decrease in global DNA methylation (5 mC) and a corresponding increase in global DNA hydroxymethylation (5 hmC) of the hESC’s DNA in only 24 h. This work identifies a type of AuNPs highly toxic to hESCs and demonstrates the potential of hESCs in predicting nanotoxicity and characterizing their ability to alter the DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation patterns in the cells.

1. Introduction

Nanotoxicology is an emerging discipline studying the interference of engineered nanomaterials with the functions of cellular and extracellular nanomachineries. The accelerated pace of clinical trials and commercialization of nanomaterials places increased demands on toxicological evaluations of engineered nanomaterials. There is an urgent need to develop predictive and validated nanotoxicological assessment methods for nanomaterials due to their unique size range, surface chemistry, and interactions with biological systems. Nanomaterials have the potential to cross the placental and the blood–brain barriers. Neurotoxicity of engineering nanomaterials will become more relevant with the development of central nervous system-targeting nanomedicine.

Among the diverse nanomaterials currently being explored for nanomedicine, gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) are particularly attractive due to their versatile surface chemistry, ease of imaging, and tunability for targeted drug delivery. AuNPs are being widely investigated for targeted delivery of drugs, genetic materials, antigens, and diagnostic agents to the brain. For example, in combination with radiation, AuNPs have proven successful at dissolving deposits of beta-amyloid, the protein involved in Alzheimer’s disease. Because of the wide biomedical applications of AuNPs, human exposure to AuNPs will be increasingly likely, which warrants careful evaluation of their toxicological effects. AuNPs have been described by most studies as nontoxic, but some studies have found them to be toxic. AuNPs with 1.4–1.5 nm in diameter (Au\(_{55}\)) have been found to be highly toxic to...
cells. A few studies have shown that chronic exposure to AuNPs might interfere with brain function. For example, exposure to high concentrations of 20 nm AuNPs was found to increase the proliferation of human neurosphere–derived neural precursor/pregenitor cells (NPCs) and induce oxidative stress in a mouse immortalized NPC line. In rodent models, intraperitoneal injections of 17–20 nm AuNPs resulted in learning and memory deficits associated with elevated dopamine levels and decreased serotonin levels, as well as increased brain expression levels of markers of oxidative stress, apoptosis, and inflammation. More recently, cultured mouse hippocampal CA1 neurons were shown to be more excitable when exposed to 5–40 nm AuNPs. Taken together, these data demonstrate that AuNPs as tools for neuromedicine should be carefully evaluated since they may adversely alter neuronal differentiation, synapse formation, and functional plasticity (which affect memory and learning) in a size-dependent manner.

Currently, there are no systematic methods to predict toxicological effects of AuNPs on human health. A majority of neurotoxicological assessments are conducted in vitro using immortalized cell lines with standard toxicological assays such as the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazolyl-2)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) (measurement of mitochondrial enzymatic activity) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) (measurement of cell membrane disruption) assays with short exposure time (usually limited to within 48 h). One shortcoming of standard in vitro toxicity assays, however, is that the potential interference of nanoparticles with embryonic development or stem cell differentiation cannot be clearly addressed. On the other hand, it is often difficult to correlate outcomes from animals to humans based on in vivo tests. Human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) and their subsequent differentiation into NPCs and neurons have enabled researchers to establish pluripotent cell-based models to study developmental neurotoxicology. This process mimics aspects of the early stages of human brain development, giving us a unique opportunity to identify the effects of exposure to nanomaterials on neural specification. Though mouse ESCs were recently used to evaluate the neurotoxicity of AuNPs, hESCs have yet to be applied for neurotoxicological testing.

This paper explores the use of hESCs for neurotoxicity testing of AuNPs and dendrimers. It describes the morphological and epigenetic effects of AuNPs of three core sizes, 1.5, 4, and 14 nm, on the neuronal differentiation of hESCs. The 1.5 nm AuNP (AuNP1.5) was chosen because AuNPs of this size have been found to be highly toxic to cells. AuNP4 and AuNP14 are within the size range widely used for targeted drug delivery applications including those targeting the brain. The nanoparticle size and surface chemistry were characterized by UV–vis, TEM, and zetasizer. We show that AuNPs have size-dependent differential effects on the viability, pluripotency, and neuronal differentiation potentials of hESCs, AuNP1.5 being highly toxic. In addition, we show that exposure to certain sized AuNPs modifies the DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation profiles of hESCs.

2. Results

2.1. AuNP Synthesis and Characterization

All the nanoparticles used in this study are given in Scheme 1. AuNPs were synthesized according to established proce-
dures in the literature. The detailed procedures are described in the Experimental Section and only briefly summarized here. Ultrasmall AuNP\textsubscript{1.5} was synthesized by modifying a literature procedure using a mild reductant tert-butylamine-borane to slow down the reduction rate of AuCl(PPh\textsubscript{3}) precursor.\textsuperscript{[32]} AuNP\textsubscript{1.5} was capped by mercaptosuccinic acid (MSA) following the ligand exchange reaction.\textsuperscript{[33]} AuNP\textsubscript{4} capped by MSA was synthesized by following a literature procedure.\textsuperscript{[34]} Citrate-capped AuNP\textsubscript{14} was synthesized by the Turkevich method.\textsuperscript{[35–38]} For comparison with the AuNPs, two half-generation dendrimers with carboxyl termination G\textsubscript{0.5} and G\textsubscript{1.5} were used.

The nanoparticles were characterized by TEM with energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDAX), zetasizer, and UV–vis spectroscopy. Figure 1A shows the TEM images of synthesized AuNPs. Their diameters were determined to be 1.5 ± 0.1 nm (AuNP\textsubscript{1.5}), 4.2 ± 0.5 nm (AuNP\textsubscript{4}), and 13.6 ± 0.4 nm (AuNP\textsubscript{14}), respectively. The various AuNPs were thus identified by their TEM measured diameters. Figure 1B shows the TEM EDAX spectra of AuNP\textsubscript{1.5} before and after the ligand exchange reaction. The phosphorus peak at 2.013 eV was replaced by the sulfur peak at 2.307 eV confirming the exchange reaction. The gold peak was unchanged at 2.120 eV.

When AuNP\textsubscript{4} and AuNP\textsubscript{14} were added to the hESC culture medium, we observed aggregation of the nanoparticles from the disappearance of the red color associated with stabilized AuNPs. To prevent this, we incubated the nanoparticles with bovine serum albumin (BSA) prior to their addition to the culture medium as BSA has been shown to be effective in preventing nanoparticle aggregation in culture medium.\textsuperscript{[39,40]} BSA coating was proven to be effective in preventing AuNPs from aggregation in the hESC culture medium (see Section 2.2). The nanoparticle size measurements from dynamic light scattering (DLS) (Figure S1, Supporting Information) are consistent with the expected sizes of the AuNPs and dendrimers. After applying the BSA, an increase in particle hydrodynamic radius of 4–6 nm was observed for AuNP\textsubscript{4} and AuNP\textsubscript{14} indicating the formation of the corona (BSA has the following molecular dimensions 3 nm × 3 nm × 8 nm).\textsuperscript{[39]} The particles retained their negative charges after being coated with BSA. We were not able to determine the size of AuNP\textsubscript{1.5}, G\textsubscript{0.5}, and G\textsubscript{1.5} after incubating with BSA due to their extreme small sizes. The UV–vis spectra of the AuNPs after coating with BSA showed no shift in the absorption peak position in the range of 500–520 nm dispersed either in deionized water or phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) buffer further supporting the stabilization effect of BSA (Figure S2, Supporting Information). In contrast to AuNP\textsubscript{4} and AuNP\textsubscript{14}, AuNP\textsubscript{1.5} does not absorb light in the 500–520 nm range (Figure S2a, Supporting Information) because ultrasmall AuNPs of 1–2 nm are no longer metallic but exhibit discrete electron excitations.\textsuperscript{[41]}

2.2. AuNP Size Effect on hESC Viability

Here, we sought to determine the influence that AuNPs of various sizes may have on hESCs and their neuronal specification. We started by examining the effects that AuNP exposure has on the viability of hESCs. We first verified the efficacy of the BSA-coating procedure at preventing AuNP aggregation in the hESC culture medium. The culture
medium maintained its red color when BSA-coated AuNPs were introduced indicating the AuNP colloidal stability. Figure 2A shows light microscopic images of WA09 hESC cell colonies on a mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) feeder layer following 24 h exposure to vehicle, or 10 µg mL\(^{-1}\) of AuNP1.5, AuNP4, or AuNP14. We chose to express particle dosage in mass concentration (e.g., µg mL\(^{-1}\)) as in a majority of publications. However, considering the importance of particle surface area concentration (e.g., cm\(^2\) mL\(^{-1}\)) and dose per cell number,\(^{[42]}\) we also listed the corresponding dosages in Table 1 for various sized AuNPs. The cell density of 100 000 cells mL\(^{-1}\) was used in the calculations. Colonies exposed to AuNP1.5 exhibited altered morphology suggestive of cell death. As shown in Figure 2A, no significant AuNP aggregates were visible for any size of BSA-coated AuNPs tested, confirming earlier reports.\(^{[39,40]}\) To identify the potential AuNP toxicity, hESCs were exposed to 10 µg mL\(^{-1}\) AuNPs, largely above the nontoxic range\(^{[5]}\) for 24 h. At the end of exposure with AuNP4 and AuNP14, no remarkable differences in the growth and morphology of HESC colonies were observed compared to control cultures (Figure 2A). In contrast, cells in AuNP1.5-treated hESC colonies exhibited loss of cohesiveness, rounding up, and detachment, suggesting ongoing cell death (Figure 2A). Similar time-course and severity of AuNP1.5-induced cell loss were observed when hESCs were cultured on gelatin-coated plates instead of MEFs, suggesting a direct toxic effect of AuNP1.5 on hESCs (data not shown). Trypan blue assays, which stain dead cells, were introduced indicating the AuNP colloidal stability. Figure 3A shows light microscopic images of WA09 hESC cell colonies on a mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) feeder layer following 24 h exposure to vehicle, or 10 µg mL\(^{-1}\) of AuNP1.5, AuNP4, or AuNP14.

Table 1. AuNP dosage expressed in various units.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AuNP1.5</th>
<th>AuNP1.5</th>
<th>AuNP4</th>
<th>AuNP14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D = 1.5 nm</td>
<td>D = 1.5 nm</td>
<td>D = 4 nm</td>
<td>D = 14 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conc. = 10 µg mL(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number dose [1 mL(^{-1})]</td>
<td>2.93 \times 10^{14}</td>
<td>1.76 \times 10^{13}</td>
<td>1.55 \times 10^{13}</td>
<td>3.61 \times 10^{11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number dose [×10(^{-6}) s]</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.0292</td>
<td>0.0257</td>
<td>5.99 \times 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface dose [cm(^2) mL(^{-1})]</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle no./cell</td>
<td>2.93 \times 10^{9}</td>
<td>1.76 \times 10^{8}</td>
<td>1.55 \times 10^{8}</td>
<td>3.61 \times 10^{6}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We then analyzed the sublethal range of exposure to AuNP1.5 by assessing the viability of hESCs treated for 10 µg mL\(^{-1}\) AuNP1.5 by assessing the viability of hESCs compared to controls (Figure 2C). An 85% decrease (\(p < 0.001\)) toward reduced cell viability at the 1 µg mL\(^{-1}\) concentration was also observed with the MTT assay for which the IC\(_{50}\) value was 1.03 µg mL\(^{-1}\). In comparison, viability of hESCs was tested against BSA-treated G0.5 and G1.5 dendrimers with the same carbonyl surface group and in a close hydrodynamic size range as AuNP1.5 (Figure S1, Supporting Information). We compared the viability of hESCs exposed for 24 h to AuNP1.5 or G0.5 and G1.5 dendrimers at a concentration of 10 µg mL\(^{-1}\). As shown in Figure 2E using the Trypan blue assay, the percentage of viable hESCs after exposure to dendrimers of both sizes was not different from that observed in control cultures. However, as previously observed, no hESCs survived treatment with AuNP1.5 (\(p < 0.001\)), suggesting that it is the chemical nature of gold that likely plays a role in AuNP1.5-induced cytotoxicity.

2.3. AuNP Cell Uptake by hESCs

Size, shape, surface chemistry, and dosage are factors that may affect the uptake of AuNPs by cells.\(^{[5]}\) The main route for AuNP cellular entry is through endocytosis and it depends on the size, shape, and surface chemistry of AuNP\(^{[13,43]}\). As shown in Figure 2A, intracellular accumulation of AuNP4 and AuNP14 could clearly be detected by optical microscopy in cultures of hESCs grown on MEFs after a 24 h exposure to 10 µg mL\(^{-1}\) concentration. Using DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) staining to visualize the differences in nuclear chromatin patterns that exist between MEFs and hESCs\(^{[44,45]}\) we observed that the nuclei of AuNP-positive cells displayed the bright fluorescent heterochromatin foci, characteristic of both proliferating and senescent MEFs\(^{[45]}\) (Figure 3A). In MEFs, AuNPs appeared as black dots that distributed throughout the cellular cytoplasm, preferentially aggregating around the nucleus (Figure 3B,C). Because no clear uptake of AuNP1.5 could be observed in the cultures, we used the GoldEnhance (Nanoprobes, Inc.) amplification method, which revealed the presence of labeled MEFs (Figure 3D). In contrast to the MEF case, no accumulation of AuNP1.5 (after GoldEnhance treatment) (data not
shown), AuNP4, or AuNP14 could be observed in hESCs (Figure 3B,C). While we cannot exclude that cell death being a factor preventing active transport of AuNP1.5 at 10 µg mL\(^{-1}\) of AuNP1.5, AuNP4, and AuNP14. Only colonies exposed to AuNP1.5 exhibited altered morphology suggestive of cell death. Arrows indicate MEFs with visible intracellular uptake of AuNPs in the case of AuNP4 and AuNP14. AuNP1.5 was too small to be seen. The scale bar is 160 µm in the bright field microscopic images.

B) Cell viability analysis of hESCs exposed for 24 h to 10 µg mL\(^{-1}\) of the different sized AuNPs using Trypan blue assay. The percentage of viable cells ([total number of cells − number of nonviable dark-blue stained cells]/[total number of cells] × 100) was significantly decreased following exposure to AuNP1.5. Histogram values are means ± SEM. Statistical significance compared to the vehicle control group is designated as (*** \( p < 0.001; n = 3, \text{ one-way ANOVA} \)). C) Measurement of mitochondrial activity in hESCs exposed for 24 h to 10 µg mL\(^{-1}\) of the different sized AuNPs by the MTT assay. A decrease in mitochondrial enzymatic activity was observed between the vehicle and the AuNP1.5 experimental groups. Histogram values are means ± SEM. Statistical significance compared to the vehicle control group is designated as (*) \( p = 0.028; n = 3, \text{ one-way ANOVA} \). D) Dose–response curves for the survival of hESCs exposed to AuNP1.5 for 4 d using Trypan blue and MTT assays. Statistical significances compared to the vehicle group are noted as (*** \( p < 0.001; n = 3 \)) and as (**) \( p < 0.01; n = 3 \). E) Cell viability analysis of hESCs exposed for 24 h to 10 µg mL\(^{-1}\) of AuNP1.5 and dendrimers G0.5 and G1.5 using Trypan blue assay. Histogram values are means ± SEM. Statistical significance compared to the vehicle control group is designated as (*** \( p < 0.001; n = 3, \text{ one-way ANOVA} \)).

This peculiar observation prompted us to further examine the uptake efficiency of AuNPs by MEFs and hESCs. To this end, we treated MEFs and hESCs with either vehicle (control) or 10 µg mL\(^{-1}\) AuNP14 for 48 h and then looked for the presence of AuNP14 using TEM. Compared to control MEFs (Figure 4A), nanoparticle clusters could clearly be observed in MEFs exposed to AuNP14 (Figure 4B). Clusters were mostly found in the cytoplasm, and very rarely localized to the nucleus (Figure 4C). As shown in Figure 4D, hESCs appeared as tightly arranged cells with high nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio. Clusters of dark small sized glycogen granules (<50 nm) were frequently observed in the cellular cytoplasm (Figure 4D, arrow) as previously observed in hESCs.[46–49] AuNP14-exposed hESCs exhibited a cellular ultrastructure similar to that observed in control cells, and no AuNP14 could be clearly observed (Figure 4E), suggesting that no intracellular AuNP uptake had occurred in hESCs. Because staining of the sections may make it difficult to discriminate the AuNP from the electron-dense glycogen granules, we also
To examine the effects of exposure to various sized AuNPs on the neural differentiation of hESCs, we generated EBs and maintained them throughout the entire neural differentiation protocol (19 d) in the presence of vehicle or 10 µg mL$^{-1}$ AuNP1.5, AuNP4, or AuNP14. The presence of AuNP4 and AuNP14 in the medium did not prevent the formation of EBs, which appeared morphologically similar to those generated from vehicle-exposed EBs (Figure 6). In contrast, AuNP1.5-exposed hESCs did not form EBs but rather rapidly disintegrated into single cells within 48 h of treatment, so that by day 3, no EBs survived (Figure 6). Therefore, following experiments were carried out using a 0.6 µg mL$^{-1}$ (EC30) concentration for AuNP1.5, which allowed the formation of EBs. During neural differentiation, both control and AuNP1.5-treated EBs were able to generate neural rosettes (Figure 6). However, the neural rosettes formed by AuNP1.5-exposed EBs were small, exhibiting a dark aspect (Figure 6), and did not survive. The data suggest that even at sublethal dosage AuNP1.5 causes adverse effect on neuronal differentiation of hESCs.

Even though NPCs generated from AuNP4- and AuNP14-treated hESCs appeared similar to those obtained from control hESCs, we further verified their capability to differentiate into neurons by using previously published protocol.[50] By day 21, about 15.1 ± 2.3% of MAP2-positive neurons were observed in control cultures. No statistically significant differences (p = 0.912) in the percentage of MAP2-positive neurons were observed between NPC cultures derived from AuNP4- (16.3 ± 3%) or AuNP14- (14.7 ± 2.6%) hESCs. We then analyzed the complexity of the differentiated neurons by quantitatively measuring several morphological parameters. Sholl analysis (Figure S3, Supporting Information) indicated no remarkable differences in the average sum of crossings (p = 0.21) (Figure S3A,B, Supporting Information), average neuritic length (p = 0.45) (Figure S3C, Supporting Information), or average number of branchings (p = 0.25) (Figure S3D, Supporting Information) between control hESCs and AuNP-treated hESCs. Taken together, these data show that exposure to AuNP4 or AuNP14 of hESCs does not alter the neuronal differentiation capabilities of their derived NPCs.

2.6. AuNP Effect on hESC-Derived NPCs

To better understand the neurotoxic effects of AuNP1.5 on the formation of neural rosettes, we examined the direct effects of AuNP1.5 on the survival of NPCs. To this end, proliferating hESC-derived NPCs were exposed for 3 d to vehicle or 10 µg mL$^{-1}$ of AuNPs, and immunostained for the neural marker Nestin and the DNA marker DAPI. While cultures treated with vehicle, AuNP4, and AuNP14 exhibited numerous NPCs, those exposed to AuNP1.5 were almost completely depleted of cells (Figure 7A–H). There was a significant 54-fold increase (p = 0.02) in the number of pyknotic nuclei (condensed chromatin state that precedes apoptosis) in AuNP1.5-treated wells (Figure 7I), which also exhibited loss of Nestin-positive cells (p < 0.001), compared to other experimental exposures (Figure 7J).

2.5. AuNP Effect on the Neuronal Differentiation of hESCs

To examine the effects of exposure to various sized AuNPs on the neural differentiation of hESCs, we generated EBs analyzed unstained sections by TEM. No AuNP could clearly be observed in AuNP-exposed versus control hESCs (Figure 4F,G). Combining the data from optical and electron microscopies we conclude that AuNP14 was taken up readily by MEFs but not by hESCs.

2.4. AuNP Effect on hESC Pluripotency

Next, we sought to determine if AuNP exposure affects the capabilities of hESCs to generate ectodermal, mesodermal, and endodermal derivatives. The pluripotency of hESCs enables them to differentiate into multiple tissue types. To this end, we passively differentiated hESC-derived embryoid bodies (EBs) for two weeks in basic fibroblast growth factor (FGF2)-free stem cell medium, while exposing them to either AuNP or vehicle. The concentration for AuNP4 and AuNP14 was 10 µg mL$^{-1}$, while a sublethal dosage of 0.6 µg mL$^{-1}$ was used for AuNP1.5. As shown in Figure 5, quantification of marker mRNAs indicated that there were no statistically significant differences in the expression levels of NCAM (Figure 5A), NESTIN (Figure 5B), BRACHYURY (Figure 5C), PITX2 (Figure 5D), LEFTY (Figure 5E), NODAL (Figure 5F), and AFP (Figure 5G). These gene expression results suggest that, at the concentrations tested, exposure to AuNPs did not markedly alter the in vitro differentiation potentials of hESCs.

2.3. AuNP Effect on the Neural Differentiation of hESCs

To better understand the neurotoxic effects of AuNP1.5 on the formation of neural rosettes, we examined the direct effects of AuNP1.5 on the survival of NPCs. To this end, proliferating hESC-derived NPCs were exposed for 3 d to vehicle or 10 µg mL$^{-1}$ of AuNPs, and immunostained for the neural marker Nestin and the DNA marker DAPI. While cultures treated with vehicle, AuNP4, and AuNP14 exhibited numerous NPCs, those exposed to AuNP1.5 were almost completely depleted of cells (Figure 7A–H). There was a significant 54-fold increase (p = 0.02) in the number of pyknotic nuclei (condensed chromatin state that precedes apoptosis) in AuNP1.5-treated wells (Figure 7I), which also exhibited loss of Nestin-positive cells (p < 0.001), compared to other experimental exposures (Figure 7J).

Figure 3. Uptake of AuNPs by MEFs but not hESCs. A) Cell cultures stained with the nuclear marker DAPI showing the bright heterochromatin foci characteristic of MEFs (long arrows), which allow to distinguish them from hESCs (arrowheads). Uptake of B) AuNP4 and C) AuNP14 was clearly visible in MEFs, but not in hESC colonies (region marked by *) delineated by dotted lines. D) Uptake of AuNP1.5 by MEFs was only visible following intensification with GoldEnhance (arrows). The scale bar length in (D) valid for (A)–(C) is 50 μm.
2.7. AuNP Effect on hESC Epigenetics

We hypothesized that some of the physiological effects of AuNP exposure can be mediated by changes in the methylcytosine (5 mC) profile of the genome. Therefore, we investigated the global 5 mC status of the genome using a 5 mC-immunoprecipitation-based colorimetric assay in hESC exposed to sublethal dosage of 1 µg mL⁻¹ AuNP1.5, 10 µg mL⁻¹ AuNP4, or 10 µg mL⁻³ AuNP14 for 24 h. We also chose 10 µg mL⁻¹ because it was used on one of few studies
using embryonic stem cells. This concentration avoids exposing cells to an excessive amount of buffer. We observed a marked reduction of 5 mC levels 24 h after AuNP exposure irrespective of AuNP size (Figure 8A). Interestingly, exposure to AuNP4 showed the most dramatic decrease in 5 mC levels (Figure 8A). This decrease was coupled with an increase in hydroxymethylcytosine (5 hmC), which is consistent with 5 hmC being the first step in the demethylation process of DNA (Figure 8B; see Discussion). We performed a two-sample t-test, assuming equal variances, to determine if the reported differences were statistically significant. For 5 mC, the p-value associated with a decrease in global 5 mC levels in AuNP4 treated cells was 0.062. For 5 hmC, the p-value associated with an increase in global 5 hmC levels in AuNP4 treated cells was 0.093. Even though the p-values for the colorimetric assays did not reach the significant cutoff of 0.05, the data suggested a trend toward AuNP4-induced global demethylation of the genome. To further explore this...
result, we looked at the locus specific methylation profile of hESCs exposed to AuNP1.5, AuNP4, and AuNP14 using the HM450K array, which measures the DNA methylation levels at over 480,000 CpG sites in the human genome (see the Experimental Section).

Principal component analysis (PCA) of the matrix of normalized beta values for all sample indicated the hESCs treated with AuNP4 clustered separately along principle component 1 compared to the nontreated hESCs, and hESCs treated with AuNP1.5 and AuNP14 (Figure 8C). All of the samples also showed separation along principle component 2, suggesting large variability between replicates (Figure 8C). In agreement with the PCA, we did not observe any significant association of 5mC levels for single CpG sites (at an FDR corrected cutoff of $p < 0.05$) with exposure to either 1 µg mL$^{-1}$ AuNP1.5 or 10 µg mL$^{-1}$ AuNP14 (Figure 8D). However hESCs treated with 10 µg mL$^{-1}$ AuNP4 showed a large number of exposure-dependent genome-wide associations between 5mC levels for single CpG sites, as illustrated by the $q$–$q$ plot (Figure 8D). A $q$–$q$ plot is a plot of the quantiles of the first data set against the quantiles of the second data set. By a quantile, we mean the fraction (or percent) of points below the given value. That is, the 0.2 (or 20%) quantile is the point at which 20% of the data fall below and 80% fall above that value. Previous studies have indicated that the HM450K array is able to detect 0.20 or 20% difference in CpG methylation ($\Delta$beta) with a confidence of $\approx 95\%$.

At an exposure effect size $\geq 0.20$ or 20%, FDR corrected p-value $\leq 0.05$, 31 311 single CpG sites showed a decrease in beta values (negative $\Delta$beta) on exposure to AuNP4 (Figure 8E). This result confirms our previous observation that treatment of hESCs with AuNP4 cause global DNA demethylation.

3. Discussion

This is one of the first studies to demonstrate the use of hESCs for assessing nanotoxicology, specifically, the effect of AuNPs on the viability, pluripotency, neuronal differentiation, and DNA methylation of hESCs. Some significant advantages in using hESCs compared to other (e.g., animal-derived) systems are that (1) they have unlimited self-renewal capabilities and can be grown indefinitely in the laboratory; (2) they can differentiate into a variety of specialized cell types, such as neurons over a longer period of time; (3) they preclude interspecies variability, making them a better model to predict human response to toxins; and (4) subtle changes in cell functionality rather than cell death can be tested on nanomaterials of low toxicity such as majority of AuNPs. This study contributes an hESC-based assay to the study of human developmental neurotoxicology. The differentiation of hESCs into neurons mimics aspects of the early stages of human brain development. Cytotoxicity to hESCs caused by AuNP1.5 therefore implies that such ultrasmall AuNPs may be toxic to humans (especially considering the size effect on tissue distribution and ability to cross the blood–brain barrier) and their use be further regulated.

Of the three AuNP sizes studied, only AuNP1.5 shows toxicity to hESC viability and differentiation into EBs. The IC$_{50}$ value measured during 4 d exposure of AuNP1.5 is $0.0706 \times 10^{-6}$ m. In comparison, the IC$_{50}$ (3 d test) for a common chemotherapeutic agent, cisplatin, is $0.45 \times 10^{-6}$ m tested on fibroblast CCD-919Sk cells, $7.93 \times 10^{-6}$ m on cervical cancer HeLa cells, and $26.10 \times 10^{-6}$ m on bone MCT3T3-E1 cells. It shows that AuNP1.5 can be as or more toxic than chemotherapeutic drugs. Indeed, some have suggested that such AuNPs could be used for cancer treatment if toxicity to normal cells can be controlled.\textsuperscript{[1,52]}
Our study also found that dendrimers of generation 0.5 and 1.5 with similar size and carboxylic acid termination to AuNP1.5 do not exhibit cytotoxicity to hESCs. However, one must consider differences beyond a simple size comparison. Dendrimers of such early generations (G0.5 and G1.5) behave more like an open prolate ellipsoid than a hard sphere. In addition, the interaction between amphiphilic dendrimers with hydrophobic core and hydrophilic surface may interact with cell membranes differently from hydrophilic AuNPs with fully protected surface.

Though our study is not the first one to show cytotoxicity of ultrasmall AuNPs of the cluster structure Au55, we want to point out some key new findings based on our results. First, we briefly summarize previous studies on size-dependent cytotoxicity of AuNPs both in vitro (e.g., using melanoma cells, cervix carcinoma cells, mouse fibroblasts, and mouse macrophages) and in vivo (using zebrafish embryos). Au55 cluster with a diameter of 1.4 nm capped by triphenylphosphine monosulfonate (TPPMS), denoted as Au1.4MS, was found highly toxic to a number of human cancer and healthy cell lines. For example, the IC50 value of Au55 was determined to be $6.2 \times 10^{-6}$ M for CCD-919Sk fibroblast cells measured at 24 h. Au1.4MS was found to cause necrosis by oxidative stress and mitochondrial damage while AuNPs of 15 nm in size are nontoxic. The same study also showed that when the phosphine ligand was replaced with a thiol ligand, glutathione, Au55 cytotoxicity was greatly reduced. The authors attributed the toxicity of Au1.4MS to weak phosphine/metal binding that allows highly electron-negative gold atoms to interact directly with biological targets. However, in our study, we found that even thiolate-capped Au55 is toxic to both MEFs and hESCs. In addition, the low IC50 of hESCs suggests that hESCs may be more sensitive to Au55 than other cell types.

The second key finding of this study is hESC uptake of AuNPs is much more limited than other cell types reported in the literature. We found little evidence of intracellular uptake of AuNP4 and AuNP14 by hESCs while at the same time these nanoparticles were located readily in the cytoplasm of MEFs, despite their being lethally irradiated (which is a standard treatment for feeder cells). Our GoldEnhance data suggest that AuNP1.5 may not be taken...
up by hESCs, which points to a possibility that AuNP1.5 imposes its adverse effects on hESC survival and neuronal differentiation without significant intracellular uptake. In the literature, several mechanisms of AuNP cytotoxicity have been proposed. One attributes AuNP cytotoxicity to Au_{55} binding to the major groove of B-DNA thereby interfering with DNA transcription in the cell nucleus.\textsuperscript{[18]} The second mechanism is the continuous generation of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as superoxide radicals, hydrogen peroxide, and hydroxyl radicals, by Au_{55} reaction

Figure 8. Exposure to 10 μg mL\textsuperscript{−1} AuNP4 for 24 h causes global demethylation of genomic DNA. A) Global 5 mC levels measured using MethylFlash Methylated DNA Quantification Kit. Genomic DNA from AuNP4 treated hESCs shows an observable decrease in 5 mC, though the \( p \)-value from two sample \( t \)-test assuming equal variances is 0.062. B) Global 5 hmC levels measured using MethylFlash Hydroxymethylated DNA Quantification Kit. Genomic DNA from AuNP4 treated hESCs shows an observable increase in 5 hmC, though the \( p \)-value from two sample \( t \)-test assuming equal variances is 0.093. C) PCA on normalized beta matrix indicating that the AuNP4 cluster separately from the other treatments (AuNP1.5 and AuNP14) and the nontreated controls. D) \( q-q \) plot for genome wide association analysis using fixed effect model for AuNP1.5, AuNP4, and AuNP14. The results show a large number of CpG sites (red = increase, green = decrease) where called to be significant differentially methylated at an FDR corrected \( p \)-value cutoff < 0.05. E) Further filtering by effect size (or deltabeta) \( \geq \) abs (0.20 or 20%) result in 31 311 CpG sites all showing decrease in methylation on treatment with AuNP4.
with dioxygen.\textsuperscript{[23]} ROS subsequently can cause oxidation of biomolecules. The third mechanism is \textit{Au}\textsubscript{55}‘s interactions with cell membrane proteins, for example, by blocking membrane ion channel\textsuperscript{[60]} or reducing free thiols on the cell membrane.\textsuperscript{[58]} In another study of AuNP surface functionalities on zebrafish embryonic gene expression, 2-mercaptoethane-sulfonic acid (MES)-capped 1.5 nm AuNPs were found to affect ion channel transport mechanism signaled by misregulated gene expression.\textsuperscript{[60]} Our data of hydrodynamic sizes of AuNP1.4, G0.5, and G1.5 (i.e., difficulty in measuring their sizes even in the presence of BSA) suggest that BSA could not form a corona around these ultrasmall nanoparticles due to steric constraints. Taken all together, our data suggest that AuNP1.5 interferes with membrane functions due to lack of BSA surface modification as well as their larger surface area to volume ratio. Further studies are necessary in order to clearly elucidate the mechanism underlying \textit{Au}\textsubscript{55} toxicity to hESCs and their differentiation. Finally, the leaching of ionic gold is also a possibility that cannot be ruled out. However, others have shown that gold nanoparticles of smaller sizes, 0.8 and 1.2 nm in diameter, are much less toxic than 1.4 nm gold.\textsuperscript{[55]} This seems to argue against a simple ion leaching mechanism.

A third major finding from this study is that AuNP4 causes greater than 20% decrease in DNA methylation at over 31 000 CpG sites, which represents over 6% of the probes on the HM450K array. There were no CpG sites that had a greater than 20% increase in DNA methylation, which suggests that AuNP4 somehow leads to a global decrease in DNA methylation by some unknown mechanism. This is surprising because AuNP4 showed no toxicity in our hESC assays, so it suggests that AuNP4 might actually be beneficial to these cells by decreasing the levels of DNA methylation. Stem cells generally exhibit a much lower level of DNA methylation level than somatic cells. Consistent with the idea that AuNP4 causes a global decrease in DNA methylation, colorimetric assays using 5 mC antibodies showed a trend for an increase in DNA demethylation. Similarly, colorimetric assay with 5 hmC antibodies showed a trend for an increase in DNA hydroxymethylation. Since 5 hmC is generated by ten-eleven-translocase (TET) family proteins, that are involved in DNA demethylation,\textsuperscript{[61]} we speculate that AuNP4 stimulates the activity of one or more TET proteins and this ultimately leads to a global decrease in DNA methylation.

4. Conclusions

This work described AuNPs of 1.5, 4, and 14 nm in core size on hESC viability, cell uptake, pluripotency, neuronal differentiation into EBs and NPCs, and epigenetic modifications to its DNA. AuNPs were used as model nanoparticles due to their versatile surface chemistry, ease of imaging, and tunability for targeted drug/imaging agent delivery. We found that hESC colonies exposed to 1.5 nm MSA-capped AuNPs exhibited loss of cohesiveness, rounding up, and detachment suggesting ongoing cell death. The hESCs exposed to 1.5 nm AuNPs did not form EBs but rather rapidly disintegrated into single cells within 48 h of treatment. Cell death caused by 1.5 nm AuNPs also occurred in the treatment with hESC-derived neural precursor/progenitor cells. None of the other nanoparticles exhibited toxic effects on the hSECs or their derivatives in experiments lasting as long as 19 d. In contrast to some reports, we found that \textit{Au}\textsubscript{55} capped by the strongly binding thiolate could still be toxic to cells. Our data suggest that hESC uptake of nanoparticles may be different from other cell types as we did not observe significant amount of AuNPs inside the hESCs while AuNPs were clearly present inside the MEF feeder cells. Our data also show that 4 nm AuNPs lead to a global decrease in DNA methylation and may benefit cells by decreasing the levels of DNA methylation. In conclusion, this work identifies a type of AuNPs highly toxic to hESCs and demonstrates the potential of hESCs in predicting neurotoxicity of nanoparticles. This work could ultimately impact consumers, patients, and manufacturing workers of products containing nanoparticles.

5. Experimental Section

\textbf{Reagents:} Gold(III) chloride trihydrate ($\text{tAuCl}_3\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}, 99\%$ metal trace), chloro(triphenylphosphine)gold(l) ($\text{AuCl(PPh}_3)_2$, 97%), sodium citrate tribasic dehydrate ($\geq 99\%$), MSA (97%), borane tert-butylamine complex (97%), sodium borohydride (NaBH\textsubscript{4}) (98%), polyamidoamine (PAMAM, ethylenediamine core) dendrimer G0.5 (powder) and G1.5 (methanol solution), and bovine serum albumin (BSA, 98%) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Sodium hydroxide (98%) was purchased from Fisher Scientific.

\textbf{AuNP Synthesis:} The chemical structures of the nanoparticles used in this study are shown in Scheme. The number corresponds to the average AuNP diameter in nanometer measured by TEM. Thiolate-capped 1.5 nm ultrasmall nanoparticles (AuNP1.5) were synthesized by modifying a literature procedure.\textsuperscript{[32]} The Au(l) precursor AuCl(PPh\textsubscript{3}) (0.375 mmol) was partially dissolved in 21 mL ethanol at room temperature under vigorous stirring (600–800 rpm). Tert-butylamine-borane (3.75 mmol) was used as a mild reducing agent, and 2 h after its addition, MSA (0.15 mmol) was added to control the particles size.\textsuperscript{[33]} Mercapto-alkyl acids have been found to be effective in stabilizing ultrasmall AuNPs in aqueous solution. The final AuNP ethanol solution was washed and purified by ultra-centrifugation using MW10000 Amicon centrifuge tubes in deionized water repeatedly.

MSA-capped AuNP4 were synthesized as following by modifying a literature procedure.\textsuperscript{[34]} A 500 mL aqueous solution containing 0.25 $\times$ 10\textsuperscript{-7} $\mu$ HaAuCl\textsubscript{3}$\cdot$3H\textsubscript{2}O and 0.25 $\times$ 10\textsuperscript{-7} $\mu$ trisodium citrate was prepared in an Erlenmeyer flask under vigorous stirring at room temperature. Then, 15 mL of ice cold 0.1 $\mu$ NaBH\textsubscript{4} solution were added to the solution, resulting in a change of the color solution to pink, indicative of the AuNP formation. After adjusting the pH of the solution to 11 with 0.1 $\mu$ NaOH solution, 50 mg MSA was added and the solution was stirred for 12 h. The final solution was concentrated to 2.5 g (gold) L\textsuperscript{-1} by ultra-centrifugation using MW10000 Millipore Amicon centrifuging units and washed by deionized water several times.

Citrate-capped AuNP14 were synthesized by using the Turkovich method.\textsuperscript{[35–38]} In a typical synthesis, a 500 mL aqueous solution containing 0.25 $\times$ 10\textsuperscript{-7} $\mu$ HaAuCl\textsubscript{3}$\cdot$3H\textsubscript{2}O was heated to boil and
then 175 mg of sodium citrate dissolved 30 mL water solution was directly poured into the reaction mixture. The color of the reaction turned gray, pink, and finally wine-red. After adjusting the pH, AuNP14 were coated with BSA as described above for AuNP4.

To apply the BSA coating, 10 mg of the nanoparticle dissolved in 10 mL deionized water was incubated overnight with 400 mg BSA.

AuNP Characterization: Variant Cary 50 UV–vis absorption spectroscopy was used to determine AuNP sizes, based on the Beer–Lambert law, with a scan range of 200–800 nm.

TEM images of AuNPs were taken on JEOL JEM-2010. The samples were prepared by placing a droplet of the AuNP solution on a Formvar-coated copper TEM grid. Excess liquid was removed by a piece of filter paper under the grid and dried in the air. The working voltage was 200 keV and the current was 109 mA. At least 50 particles were measured on the same sample to yield an average particle size.

DLS and zeta potential were measured using a Malvern Nano-ZS. A 1 mL solution was transferred to a 2.0 mL polystyrene cuvette. The Z-average hydrodynamic diameter (HD), polydispersity index (PDI), and zeta potential were measured at 25 °C. 15 scans were performed in each measurement. The backscattering angle Θ was fixed at 172° with a laser wavelength λ = 633 nm. The size measurement range was set between 1 nm and 6 μm. HD is a function of the diffusion coefficient (D), temperature (T), and viscosity (η) according to the Stokes–Einstein equation: HD = kT 6πηD, where k is Boltzmann constant, T is 25 °C, and D was obtained from autocorrelation function via the cumulant fitting. The electrokinetic mobility of the nanoparticles was measured using the laser Doppler velocimetry and phase analysis light scattering technique of the Malvern Zetasizer. The electrokinetic mobility was converted into zeta potential using the Smoluchowski equation using the Malvern software.[62]

Human Embryonic Stem Cell Cultures: The NIH-approved and registered WA09 hESC line (passages 26–32; WiCell Research Institute, Madison, WI, USA)[63] was maintained in a humidified incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ as previously described.[64] Briefly, cells were grown on a feeder layer of irradiated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (GlobalStem, Rockville, MD, USA) in hESC culture medium made of 20% knockout serum replacement, 2×10⁻³ M L-glutamine, 1% nonessential amino acids, 1% penicillin/streptomycin (all products from Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA), 4 ng mL⁻¹ human fibroblast growth factor basic (FGF2, Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ), and 0.1×10⁻⁶ M 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) in DMEM/F12 (Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA). The active ingredient within the serum replacement is lipid-rich albumin. hESCs were regularly passaged by mechanical dissection and their pluripotent state assessed by immunofluorescence detection for pluripotency markers, such as Oct4, Nanog, and Lin28.

Trypan Blue Exclusion Assay: At the end of exposure, control and AuNP-treated hESCs were harvested and stained with 0.4% Trypan blue (Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA). Viable (unstained) and nonviable (dark-blue stained) cells were counted with a hemacytometer. The number of viable cells was determined by subtracting the number of nonviable cells from the total number of cells counted and expressed as a percentage relative to the total number of cells. Data were collected from three independent experiments.

MTT Assay: hESCs were cultured in phenol red-free culture medium and plated at a density of 100 000 cells per well of a 12-well plate. At the end of AuNP exposure, the medium was supplemented with 0.5 mg mL⁻¹ of MIT (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) freshly prepared in PBS, and cells were incubated in the dark at 37 °C for 3 h. MIT-formazan crystals were then solubilized for 15 min, at room temperature in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Absorbance was measured at 590 nm using a spectrophotometer, and cell survival was expressed as a percentage of absorbance relative to that of control samples. Data were obtained from three separate experiments.

Uptakes Studies by TEM: The cellular uptake of AuNPs was assessed on MEFs and undifferentiated WA09 cells (passage 32) exposed to vehicle or 10 μg mL⁻¹ AuNP14 for 48 h. At the end of exposure, cells were washed and fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) in PBS. Samples were processed at the MSU Center for Advanced microscopy where they were postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide, rinsed in distilled water, dehydrated through a graded series of acetone, and embedded in epoxy resin. Ultrathin 70 nm sections were obtained and then analyzed and photographed using a JEOL 100CXII electron microscope.

Pluripotency In Vitro Studies: The effects of exposure to AuNPs on the in vitro pluripotency capabilities of hESCs were determined using spontaneous differentiation of EBs.[65] Briefly, EBs were generated by mechanical dissociation of hESC colonies and cultured for two weeks in FGFR-free hESC medium in presence of vehicle, 0.6 μg mL⁻¹ AuNP1.5, or 10 μg mL⁻¹ of AuNP4 and AuNP14. At the end of exposure, total RNA was extracted from EBs using Qiazol (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, quantified by optical density, and subjected to reverse transcription using Superscript II Reverse Transcriptase and random primers (Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA) for 50 min at 40 °C followed by 15 min at 75 °C. Quantitative PCR analyses of the well-established lineage marker genes—endodermal (AFP and NODAL), ectodermal (NCAM and NESTIN), and mesodermal (BRACHYURY, PITX2, and LEFTY)—were performed using an ABI PRISM 7000 Sequence Detection System and its software (Applied Biosystems) with 10 ng cDNA, 400 ng 10⁻⁸ M of each primers, and SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA). Resulting data were normalized against the expression of the internal control GAPDH gene. Data analysis was performed using the 2−ΔΔCT method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001) and standardized by log transformation, mean centering, and autoscaling as previously described.[66] Data were collected from three separate experiments.

Differentiation of hESCs into NPCs: Generation of NPCs from hESCs was performed as previously described.[64,67] Following mechanical dissociation of hESC colonies, EBs were cultured in suspension for 4 d in 1:1 hESC/N₂ medium (Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA), followed by 4 d in N₂ medium supplemented with 3×10⁻⁶ M retinoic acid (RA; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO), and 3 d in N₂ medium added with 20 ng mL⁻¹ FGFR2 (Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ). At this time, EBs were transferred to fibronectin-coated plates (20 μg mL⁻¹ fibronectin; Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA) and cultured in N₂/FGF2 medium for an additional 8 d to obtain neural rosettes. The latter were subsequently dissociated and plated in N₂/FGF2 medium on 10 μg mL⁻¹ polymethine/5 μg mL⁻¹ laminin-coated dishes. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and immunostained for the
NPC marker Nestin (mouse anti-Nestin; 1:500; EMD Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA) and mouse anti-Nestin (1:500, EMD Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA).

Exposure of hESC-Derived NPCs to AuNPs: hESCs-derived NPCs were cultured on fibronectin-coated six-well dishes in N2/FGF2 medium and chronically exposed to the different sized AuNPs for 72 h, at which time cells were fixed and immunostained for Nestin and the DNA marker DAPI. The total number of Nestin-positive cells and DAPI-stained nuclei were counted in five fields per well in three separate experiments, by an observer blind to the experimental conditions. The number of cells harboring pyknotic nuclei was also calculated. Total numbers were expressed as a percentage of the total number of cells, as evaluated by DAPI or Hoechst staining.

Neuronal Differentiation of hESC-Derived NPCs: Neuronal differentiation of NPCs derived from control and AuNP-exposed hESCs was achieved as previously described.50,64,68 NPCs were cultured on polyornithine/laminin-coated 12-well dishes at a 5105 cells cm−2 density in N2 medium supplemented with 10 ng mL−1 brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), 10 ng mL−1 glial cell-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), 10 ng mL−1 insulin-like growth factor (IGF) (all factors from Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ), 200 ng mL−1 ascorbic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO), and 100 ng mL−1 cAMP (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO). Cells were fixed and stained for the neuronal marker microtubule-associated protein 2 (MAP2) (mouse antibody; 1:500, EMD Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA).

Morphological Analysis of MAP2-Positive Cells: Determination of neuritic complexity of MAP2-positive cells derived from control and AuNP-exposed hESCs was performed using Sholl analysis, as previously described.64,68,69 Neurons were randomly selected for which neurites could be clearly identified and distinguished from neighboring cells. For each experimental condition, analysis of 18–27 neurons was performed blindly to well treatment. For each neuron examined, the average sum of crossings, the average maximal neuritic distance, and the average total number of branchings were recorded.

Antibodies and Immunofluorescence Procedures: Immunofluorescence staining was performed as previously described.70 Following fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde, cells were rinsed in PBS, blocked in 3% donkey serum (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) in 0.3% Triton-X-100 (NDST3%), and incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibodies diluted in NDST1%. After several rinses in NDST1%, cells were incubated for 2 h in the dark with secondary anti-mouse antibodies conjugated to Alexa 488 (1:1000, Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA). Following rinses, cells were stained with the nuclear marker DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO). Specificity of the immunostainings was assessed by replacing the primary antibodies with normal serum, and by omitting one immunoreagent of the immunostaining protocol.

Statistical Analysis: Statistical significance between experimental groups was determined by Student’s t-test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a post hoc Holm–Sidak test when F value was significant, or Kruskal–Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks followed by Dunn’s test using the SigmaPlot 12 software (SYSTAT Software Inc., San Jose, CA). Statistical significance was defined at p ≤ 0.05. Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM.

Immunoprecipitation Based Colometric Assays: Global DNA methylation status of exposed and control hESCs were determined using MethylFlash Methylated DNA Quantification Kit (Catalogue No. P-1034) and MethylFlash Hydroxymethylated DNA Quantification Kit (Catalogue No. P-1036) from Epigentek. Briefly, 200 ng of DNA was bound to the bottom of pretreated wells and 5 hmC DNA was detected using sequential treatment with capture antibody and detection antibody. The final colorimetric signal was normalized to the standard input DNA to estimate the overall methylation percentage.

Supporting Information
Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Acknowledgements
The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the National Institutes of Health grants R01HD031550, R01ES012933, and R21ES021893, and the WSU-NIEHS Center P30ES020957. The
authors are grateful to Dr. Jose Cibelli and all the members of the Cellular Reprogramming Laboratory (CRL) at Michigan State University for the use of CRL facilities, stem cell expertise, and insightful discussions. The authors would also like to thank Dr. Steven Suhr for the gifts of qRT-PCR primers and Dr. Alicia Woorth from the MSU Center for Advanced Microscopy for skillful assistance with the TEM studies.


Received: August 5, 2015
Revised: October 8, 2015
Published online: December 16, 2015